(4) (5)

CHHOK.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No.3534 of 2003

BETWEEN

Arjun Bohidar, aged about 51 year, s/o Late A. Bohidar, occupation: Clerk, Zila Sahakari Krishi Aur Gramin Vikas Bank, Raigarh, r/o behind CityKotwali Raigarh, Tehsil & District: Raigarh (C.G.)

PETITIONER

AND

1. The State Of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary Department of Co-operative, Mantralay, D.K.S. Building, Raipur, Tehsil and District Raipur (C.G.).

2. The State of Madhya Pradesh,
Through the Secretary Department of Co-operative, Mantralay, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal, Tehsil and District Bhopal (M.P.)

3. The Registrar,
Co-operative Societies Chhattisgarh,
Labhandi, near Agriculture College, Raipur,
Tehsil and District Raipur (C.G.).

4. The Registrar,
Co-operative Societies, Madhya Pradesh,
Bhopal, Tehsil and District Bhopal (M.P.).

P. R. No. 3268/03
Presented by Shri Sandeep Sinha
dated 18.10.03

5. The General Manager (Chief Executive Officer),
Zila Sahakari Krishi Aur Gramin Vikas Bank, Raigarh,
Tehsil and District: Raigarh.

RESPONDENTS

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION ETC AND FOR APPROPRIATE FURTHER DIRECTIONS.

XI-HC-22

(75)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 3534/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	6-2-2004	

Shri Shailendra Soni, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri P. Das, P.L. for the State/respondents.

Heard both the counsel.

The petitioner has preferred this petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India. The case ofthe petitioner is that he is a clerk in the District Co-operative Bank, Raigarh. The petitioner is entitled for the revised pay scale granted by the 5th Pay Commission as per Rule 4 of the Service Rules. Respondents 3 and 4 who are the officers of the Apex Bank of Co-pperative Societies are enjoying the fruits of revised pay scale of 5th Pay Commission since from the date when the erstwhile State Government revised the pay scale of its officers/employees through 5th Pay Commission.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is entitled for the 5th Pay Commission, but he is being deprived illegally. Counsel further submits that the peetitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.3 to which the learned counsel for respondents has no objection.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(76)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation within a period of 10 days to respondent No.3 raising all his grievances and in turn respondent No.3 will decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation and after considering all the grievances of the petitioner shall pass reasoned order in an objective manner. With the direction aforesaid, the writ petition is disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	
Barve/		

[पीछे देखिये